

REGULAR MEETING OF THE LEXINGTON CITY COUNCIL**December 26, 2017****7:00 P. M.**

The regular meeting of the Lexington City Council was called to order at 7:00 P. M. by Mayor Spencer Johansen in the City Council Chambers at City Hall.

Mayor Johansen led the council in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Roll call was taken to find the following physically present: Mayor Johansen, Alderman Schreiber, Alderman Stover, Alderman Fosen, Alderman Colclasure and Alderwoman Wilson. Alderman Richard joined the meeting at 7:05 p.m. Members of the staff and public present were: David Belvery, Laurie Sleeter, Andrew Downey (Invenergy), Jim Alexander, Jeff Alexander, Earl Vandegraft, Lyle Tallon, Jere Payne, Pete Bachman, Gerald Whalen, and Lance Sleeter.

It was moved by Alderman Colclasure and seconded by Alderwoman Wilson to approve the minutes of the December 11, 2017 regular City Council meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

It was moved by Alderman Fosen and seconded by Alderman Stover to approve the Treasurer's Report and pay bills in the amount of \$77,298.48. This includes a payments on the Employee Health Insurance and Property and Liability Insurance. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Input: Jim Alexander: I am here again tonight to ask the Council to leave the variance at a mile and one half. I don't need to go into all the down side of all the windmills, if you go into your computer and go into the website on wind turbines it will run for half an hour on why you should not have them. I have heard there is some discussion on picking and choosing where the windmills will go. The ones that are closest to town, maybe eliminate those. We went to a school board meeting and they were kicking that around too. Eliminate certain ones. I wanted to talk to the city attorney, can he do that, is that legal? Can you pick and choose? That is my question?

Mayor Johansen's answer to him was that we looked at the map and the council talked about potential growth and in which direction, then we suggested eliminating those in that general direction. We did not decide "we don't like this one or this one ". It was about the growth of Lexington.

Jim Alexander: You want to take out the ones that are closer to the City limits?

Mayor Johansen's answer was yes the ones for potential growth.

Jim Alexander: These four right here (116, 117, 118, 119) are right on top of me. I see a problem with my property value and I see a lot of trouble with the growth of the City with

probability you are going to go east. I wanted to ask if you can eliminate certain ones. You have a grid already set up?

Andrew Downey: Yes

Jim Alexander: Can you pull out some of the windmills without moving the rest of them, is what I'm asking?

Andrew Downey: Layout is based on so many additional alternates available on site, now there are considerations setback for the City and also the FAA, consideration of neighbors as well, also connected to the number of turbines is in our permit we would fulfill our 250 megawatt we are going to put on the grid we need around 100 turbines so we have an addition 17-18 on the map. That way the City could knock out a half a dozen and we would still have enough.

Alderman Schreiber: No problem with the City saying we don't want certain ones?

Andrew Downey: That's entirely up to them.

Jim Alexander: The grid is already set up. Your 117 is already set up. So, what I'm not going to hear from the energy co, well when we take that one out it will mess up something else. I'm not an engineer, I'm just asking you a question. If you take some of them out, that won't mess up what you got in there?

Andrew Downey: That is why additional turbines are put in there. We can adjust the circuitry for the number of turbines tied into a certain line, other than the locations are less important than the total number that are there.

Jim Alexander: The bottom line that you are telling me is: you can take some of them out without any bother?

Andrew Downey: That is my understanding.

Alderman Schreiber: If the City decides not to allow the 18 within the mile and one half zone is there a plan to put the 18 outside the zone?

Andrew Downey: I do not believe so, I am not sure what the County zoning is set for but I believe the locations are set for the properties now with the zoning board. It would be prohibitive with the County Zoning Board to start adding more turbines. I think what Ariana Martino said at the last meeting was that if all 18 were eliminated there would be an issue with meeting the capacity for the 250 megawatts

Jim Alexander: If all 18 were to go, it would create a problem:

Andrew Downey: Yes.

Jim Alexander: I would like for the Council to say a mile and one half and that is it. This has all went to the County Board and ready for approval, so they are going to approve the 117? Is that right?

Mayor Johansen answered that there was a public hearing which is January 2, 2018.

Jim Alexander: The map that they are going to approve they already have and that will be the whole show.

Mayor Johansen answered that the City Council still has control over the mile and one half setback not the County.

Jim Alexander: I was told that there was no city in McLean County that approved these windmills within the mile and one half setback. NONE! So, if you do in fact, let them in you will be the first in McLean County. And if you ring this City with windmills you will see Lexington as we know it will be done.

The school board is also talking about picking and choosing which windmills to keep and recommending to the city council which ones they would like to see kept. I don't think they should recommend to you at all, it is your business.

Laurie Sleeter: FAA has the ability to change the locations of the turbines. Will the representative from Invenergy explain that?

Andrew Downey: The locations of the turbines are submitted to the FAA for approval there is a slight risk in terms of nearby airports, there could be communications channels, microwave beam paths that would affect emergency personal between hospitals as well as the local ambulance and fire squads and radio signals and other communications that may need to be addressed that we may not be aware of that the FAA would.

Laurie Sleeter: So, after all your time, effort and money spent getting your project ready, that hasn't been checked out already?

Andrew Downey: No, we cannot submit to FAA locations until we have the land acquisitions done and done the setback studies, wild life studies sufficient to the point that the bottom wiggle room within a turbine is within 99 feet.

Laurie Sleeter: I've looked at the map, it's very different than the map that Bright Stock Project came up with and the energy co. EDP. Last year I was under the impression the EDP was a few steps ahead. Now, I'm not hearing anything about EDP, have you heard anything about the EDP Project that is in the four townships around Lexington Township? What's the status of the EDP project?

Mayor Johansen answered that he has not heard anything, this is the first wind turbine map he has seen and the council has not been approached by anyone else.

Laurie Sleeter: There are a lot of holes in the map, with these turbines going into the area and with EDP working to put turbines in the area, could it be that this is not the end of turbines in the area? Is this phase one?

Andrew Downey: Yes, but I have not heard any expansion plans out of Chicago.

Laurie Sleeter: Pretty much guaranteed that if there is a phase one there will be a phase two and phase three.

Andrew Downey: I wouldn't count on that because with EDP having a lion's share between Weston and Chenoa. It would be prohibitive for us to expand into their area. To the South we have encountered a habitat Mackinaw River that will require at least a mile to two mile setback. I have seen that setback away from habitat areas increased in the last 10 years. We work hand in hand with the Fish and Wild Life Service so as not to interfere with any migratory bird pattern the rivers where there is a small creek, trees. Some farms haven't cleared all their land of trees, limiting some landowners to not being able to have turbines because of having trees along a creek.

Jerry Whalen: I have a farm that borders right up to the City limits of Chenoa, and when I approached them about this company putting a windmill up there the very quick and short answer I got was no. I'm within the mile and one half set back and I was told it's a county zoning law. We can't let you in there. Now why are you people even considering letting these people in? Chenoa told me it is against the law. If you have a \$250,000 house you do want a windmill in your back yard.

I agree that it is a mistake to let them in. I see no gain for the community at all.

Mayor Johansen answered that it is a State law that we have control over the mile and one half outside the corporate City limits whether they go in or not. I talked to the Mayor of Chenoa the other day and they are thinking of allowing them in. There are only two or three within the setback. I'm going by the law, I'm sure that is because the State wants to protect potential growth of the City. I cannot answer for Chenoa.

Let me state that the City did not pursue this. I have heard complaints the City has asked the windmill company to come to Lexington, they came to us. I just can't say no. The Council has to vote on it. There is a process that we need to go thru, we need to have a public hearing, which we are having on January 22, 2018. But I can assure you, I can speak for everybody here, we are doing our homework, they are talking to people in their wards, and we are well aware of what everyone is talking about and what their opinions are. We are considering everything.

Lyle Tallon: I worked for Ollie Myers, Inc. in Cooksville for 25 years. My sales area included Ellsworth, Arrowsmith and Saybrook, the area where that big wind farm is. My business was affected by the windmills. The thing that I can see is, I don't blame a farmer for wanting to have one. It does create a sense in the community that one farmer gets a windmill and someone else doesn't there's hard feelings in the surrounding communities. My question, have

you talked to Ellsworth and Arrowsmith residents? Now I don't know for certain, you can look on a plat book, I think there might be some closer to a mile and one half there. I would question your comment about building them along the Mackinaw River, there are lots of them close to Money Creek. Maybe the laws are different now. My comment is, you should know better than I where the growth of the City will be, I realize that Jim Alexander is concerned about his personal property values, I would think that the City would be very concerned about the ones on the West side of town by Barker's and that direction. My feeling is that there is a law for the County to keep them a mile and one half out and I don't see why you want to change that.

Andrew Downey: In regards to Arrowsmith, that wasn't Invenergy, and 10 years ago when those went in there were substantial less regulations in regard to habitat and they are smaller turbines, as well. I know there are no windmills south of that area because of the runways of the airport.

I would also encourage you to get in touch with Bishop Hill. The mayor there, and also Galva. If you want to get into a hornet's nest, you can talk to the mayor of Forrest as well. They relaxed the setback requirements, Livingston County Project.

Pete Bachman: Can you explain the decommissioning process?

Andrew Downey: The decommissioning process is the decommissioning agreement or what they refer to has the deconstruction agreement. That agreement was drawn between the Illinois State Department of Agriculture working with Farm Bureau which allows for the decommissioning of each turbine over the life of the turbine and when removed the return of the land to the natural state it was in. There is money set aside with the County to take care of the decommissioning at the time the County permit is approved. It also covers care and upkeep of the County and Township roads.

Laurie Sleeter: Mr. Downey has brought up about the County and Township roads. Has the City Council spoken with any of the Township Road Commissioners? How will the roads conditions in the area be left by the windmill company and how will they be maintained in the future?

Mayor Johansen answered that he is in the office nearly eight hours a day five days a week and has encouraged people to come to him to discuss their concerns. Anyone can come in and talk about this. The Council members have been getting e-mails but I have not heard from any road commissioners.

Laurie Sleeter: When does the City Council talk to the highway or road commissioners?

Mayor Johansen answered that they do not have an official time that they meet. No one has approached the City about concerns about the County or Township roads.

Laurie Sleeter: Mr. Downey, you mentioned 20 year lifespan for the turbines?

Andrew Downey: The lease runs for 25 years with a 25 year extension option. The manufacture, GE, doesn't warrant them for 25 years but does tell us that with regular maintenance and updates the turbines should easily last 25 years.

If I could address the council in regards to the roads, your Township Road Commissioners will sit down with us and there will be road agreements in place as required thru the County permitting process and those parameters will be set in place thru a transportation plan. Transportation equipment can vary from that designed route up to County load standards from the time construction begins and they will be maintained after. Also, on a per Township basis, they may require some money to maintain their roads payable on an annual basis.

I would like to answer your question about once they are here they are here forever, in LaSalle County, my backyard, the County does have money to decommission them.

Jim Alexander: I think there will be new and better technology come along to replace them and they will still be there.

Andrew Downey: At that time the County should tap into the money that is there to dismantle them.

Jim Alexander: The Pleasant Hill Cemetery has some farm land over by Arrowsmith and when they put up the windmills over there they broke a tile, and never replaced those tile. There is a law suit going now.

Andrew Downey: Again, I would say, that was not Invenergy and it was long ago.

Jim Alexander: It may have been a while ago, but the point is, the Cemetery has some acreage over there, they had tile broke and it has never been fixed.

Andrew Downey: I would recommend that you talk to someone in the White Oak Energy Project. I have followed them thru every construction project in Illinois. I'm a farmer, land owner myself and I have helped supervise and have held the hand of many land owners who have had tile cut and thru the life of the project if there is a drainage problem or the road, it has been addressed. I can tell you that with every tile issue I have been involved in, that within 24 hours they have been out there to fix it.

Alderwoman Wilson asked if everything is approved when is the projected start date?

Andrew Downey: I really can't answer that. After we get the County approval we need to get the construction crews lined up, it may be by the end of this year or early next year. I expect that by 2019 or 2020 it will be fully operational. Once they get started they will build year round.

Jere Payne: I have lived here my whole life and I'm proud of Lexington. Lexington has plenty to be proud of. Of all the small towns in the area, I think Lexington is the best one in the area, and people from Bloomington and other towns, think the same thing. I love Lexington and I

can't figure out, other than the dollars, why Lexington would want to take the chance by removing the mile and one half setback and bringing those things closer to town. What are you gaining? You are not going to gain one thing. For this reason, I am urging each of you to think about it not allowing the setback to change. You may not be able to control the County but you do have some control on the mile and one half. You have a good thing going here.

On the internet today, granted only 103 responders to this survey, 71% were not in agreement with putting the turbines or the mile and one half setback, 29% agreed. That should tell you something. I think if more people knew exactly what we were dealing with the percentages would be higher. Leave the setback alone.

Mayor Johansen answered that the Council vote will be by majority rules.

Lyle Tallon: May I ask if any of you have a financial interest in the land or will get turbines?

Let the record show that Alderman Stover did raise his hand to show that he did have a financial interest involved with the turbines.

It is tough how you are going to vote whether you are a land owner or a farmer.

Old Business: **Invenergy Discussion:** Mayor Johansen did put the survey on the City's face book page and Jere Payne was accurate on his numbers that he presented to the City Council. The mayor also checked to see how many of the responders were local and the majority of them were local. Let me say again that this is not something that the City pursued, the reason we are having the public hearing, having these meetings, is for that purpose, and is to get the public's input. By law, we are doing what we need to do. We need to have the public hearing, we need to have these discussions and weigh the options. That's what we have been doing. We have been doing our homework. I sometimes get the impression that people think we are in this for the money, and we are not. The biggest benefactor of this is the school district. That is the bottom line.

What is the setback from the Mackinaw River?

Andrew Downey: It depends on the size of the treed area but probably a mile. That is to protect the wildlife.

Mayor Johansen asked how far from the person's property line are the turbines set?

Andrew Downey: Roughly 600 feet. From an occupied residence, all the setbacks are 1,500 feet. There are also Illinois Pollution Control Noise Standards that must be met for each location.

Mayor Johansen asked about the staging area, is there a well drilled on that site? Do you make your own concrete on site?

Andrew Downey: There will be a batch plant, just speculation that it will be on the North side of Bloomington.

Mayor Johansen asked that within the mile and one half setback will not require Invenergy to drill a well?

Andrew Downey: No. We will need additional power and internet.

Alderman Fosen mentioned that at the last meeting he had wanted to meet with the school superintendent to go over the schools financial issues and better understand their situation. My understanding is that our school district currently has a positive operating budget, they are paying down on debt. Goals are to continue to run the school in a positive manner and to continue to pay down debt. We are in the second year of a tax referendum that was an additional \$.50 and that is scheduled to roll of in summer if 2019. I believe they are working towards letting the referendum roll off in 2019. A project like this certainly goes a long way to spreading out the tax burden. I believe there is \$74M EAV in the school district. Roughly 50 windmills from this project would be another \$18M dollars. That's a 24% increase in the EAV. Their same goals are to eliminate the referendum in two years, continue to pay down on their debt. That will take some time, and I won't say they can't do it, but this would ensure they can do it, and greatly reduce the time frame to pay down \$5M in debt. A lot of discussion of what this might do to the town, continued growth, continued living here, I think that the tax payers right now are at their max burden, and to just say that we don't want this, or to say that we should say there is no room for windmills within a mile and one half, regardless of which direction we think the future growth of the city. I think there are those who think the City Council should provide them for relief for their taxes and neighbors who would like to participate in this project and we need to take that into consideration as well.

Mayor Johansen asked how many windmills outside the mile and one half are within the school district?

Andrew Downey: 46

There was some discussion on the agreement that was presented to the Council by Invenergy and what was presented by the representative from Invenergy, Kevin Paryck. It was noted that the Council will not approve that agreement. They feel it needs a lot of work before they will agree to it.

Invenergy has sent the map to the County for approval, the County, and FAA is going to look at that map and say yay or nay. If they do not approve the map, then we have nothing to discuss. If they approve the map, our charge is to look at each of those locations within the mile and one half set back and approve whether they can set the windmill. They are asking us to approve each individual location.

Alderman Stover says he will not be able to vote on the agreement due to a conflict of interest.

As we look at some of the potential growth of the City, be assured that we have already ruled out the entire South and South East corner. I think there will be discussion on the East side, I think there will be discussion on the West side where industry can go. We are doing the things that you are asking, property value is subjective, but at the same time this is a financial issue for the City, also for the school, and I think, as a parent, the school is most important as it is to most of the community. We have already spoken to the fact, that without the school we don't think the community is the same, this is an opportunity to make them more sustainable, an opportunity for them to pay down debt, and looking at Ridgeview, we've seen what the money can do. The debate will be whether the money is spent properly. We need to look at what locations might be acceptable, to say that no site is acceptable would be irresponsible for us. We need to look at what the financial opportunity is for the school and indirectly property taxes. If they pay off the referendum, and they take \$.60 off. Our property tax comes down, that means a lot to this community and it will put more students in the seats in this town. This is about, is there an opportunity here that helps us along, and I think there is.

Do you really believe that this financial benefit to the school is the way to go?

I think a struggling school is counterproductive.

Alderman Fosen related how his high school closed when he was a junior in high school and what happen to the town after the closure. He lived in a rural community that could no longer support the school district and now has very little commerce.

Alderwoman Wilson related how our school recently lost it's Home Ec. program. Is this the start of it going down and down? We barely have Spanish. You need four years to get into college. We do not offer four years of a foreign language. If you want to be in the band, it costs you \$75 a year, if you want to be in football, it cost you \$75 a year. These are costs that were not there a few years ago. We had many more options for classes. Many things have been cut, teachers are being cut, and classrooms are getting bigger. People are not going to want to move to a small town that the school does not offer what will get their child into college.

This is an opportunity. If the County does not approve it and there are no windmills. Everyone will move on but if we can find a place for some windmills in this area, the school will get some benefit from them.

Aren't you betting that you will continue to attract small business? Do you see what is happening in Bloomington/Normal? Where will we find additional income to pay our bills? We are betting that the school will continue to grow and be able to pay their bills and then in 10 years we will be ok. Westside subdivision, small business, hotel/motel, they are going to keep coming here

There was some discussion on whether Lexington would eventually be consolidated in the future with Normal or someone.

There was discussion on the growth of the City, whether property taxes, would go down if the windmills are allowed inside the mile and one half set back. There was discussion that if the school district becomes a wealthy school district that the State will take funds from our district and give it to less wealthy districts

Mayor Johansen gave the statute for regulation of the wind farms as 65 ILCS 511-13-26.

I sent an e-mail to everyone asking if there was any compromise on the sites within the setback area. The agreement will be rewritten upon a compromise. There will be no vote until after the public hearing. If there is a proposal to reduce the amount that is something we will need to address then.

There was talk of an office? Where is that going to be?

Andrew Downey: There will be an operations and maintenance building location has not been determined and we would like to wait and see what happens before we decide where that building will be and make a land purchase.

Alderman Colclasure stated that all of the people that have contacted him, not one has supported this project.

If there is room for compromise, we need to work that out before the January 8, 2018 meeting. If you are 100% against them, that is fine too. It is a no win situation. There are 18 locations and those landowners want those windmills on their property. We need to consider that as well. We need a reason to tell them no. The State put this over on us, we are deciding what these people can do with their property. We are telling them yes or no.

We do need to consider the school because the property taxed may go down and we are serving the tax payers.

If anyone has any compromise before the next meeting, get it to me, so it can be discussed more.

Discussion/Vote on Ordinance 2018-1 to be effective January 1, 2018: AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING A POLICY PROHIBITING SEXUAL HARASSMENT FOR THE CITY OF LEXINGTON, MCLEAN COUNTY, ILLINOIS: This was on the agenda for the last meeting, December 11, 2013. There were no changes or modifications to the ordinance. There being no further discussion Alderwoman Wilson made a motion and Alderman Stover seconded to approve the motion as written. Motion carried unanimously.

New Business: Discussion/Vote on 2018 Calendar: A copy of the 2018 calendar was in the agenda packet for the December 11, 2018 meeting. It was decided to include some additional days off on the calendar: the day after Thanksgiving, a ½ day for Christmas Eve, and a ½ day for New Year's Eve. This would be in part because of the cost of employee insurance going up and also no pay raises this year. It was also noted, that this would change the December 24, 2018 Council meeting to December 26, 2018. Motion was made by Alderman

Colclasure and seconded by Alderman Fosen to approve the 2018 calendar with the additional days off. Motion carried unanimously.

Discussion/Vote on TIF Application and Guidance Changes: Alderman Fosen led the discussion: The intent here was to update and set some actual guidance and direction for processing TIF grants and loans going forward. I wanted to see this move toward Improvement Grants and Development Grants for Main Street and Route 66. We would like to get a stack of the applications in and look at them at one time. An Improvement loan could be \$2,000-\$7,500. Funds for more projects that are an enhancement to the community. The larger loans we would look at some payback in the form of principal and interest. With some projects that look like essential service that enhance the community, we could look at some type of interest forgiveness. I have created a document and applications to go on the website so applicants can see what the TIF Committee and the Council is looking for. The applications were also updated with a distinction between the smaller and larger requests for money. The smaller requests for money can skip a lot of the detail on the applications in order to streamline the application process but also the applications have been updated to get a lot more detail of not only the use your funds are for but how your project will affect the City of Lexington. When you get into the larger loans, \$7,500 and up, we get into the detail of how are you going to pay it back and how much time will you need to pay it back?

Everything else falls into a Development Grant. Someone asking for \$10,000 plus, we see that as developing, redeveloping the property. We will be asking for all the details, financials, the benefits to the City of Lexington and how it will be affected. Again, we have updated that application to address the weaknesses to be sure that what was on the application was to the benefit of the City of Lexington and to be sure each applicant had their own spread sheets and projections. We may ask to go thru credit reports, references or other documentation.

Along with these new guidelines we will be looking at a new budget process, budgeting for improvement projects and budgeting for development projects. We want to set the budget and then act upon the applications.

As we approve the projects, we need to get in the agreements, termination dates so if a project is significantly slowed up or doesn't happen, we need an agreement up front of how that will be handled.

Mayor Johansen thinks these new applications and guidelines are on the right track. We are going to see more of a return on our money than we have in the past. He also agrees that when we are making a loan, we need to get just as much information that a bank would require; financials, credit check, etc. as we are dealing with City of Lexington funds.

Alderman Fosen reminded the Council that the City of Lexington has a lot of TIF obligations including the payments on the water plant and other obligations.

The protocol is still that the TIF committee looks at the projects, prioritizes them and then recommends them to the Council for approval.

Motion was made by Alderman Fosen and seconded by Alderman Richard to approve the TIF Applications and Guidance Changes. Motion carried unanimously.

Election of Mayor pro tem for January 8, 2018 City Council meeting: Mayor Johansen will be gone for the January 8, 2018 Council meeting. Alderwoman Wilson made a motion to elect Alderman Fosen Mayor pro tem in Mayor Johansen's absence. Motion was seconded by Alderman Stover. Motion carried with one nay and one other.

Committee Reports:

Mayor's Report: I have been in talks with an individual who wants to put a sports complex, training facility in Lexington. He is talking a 20,000 square foot building. We are trying to find some property. We are going to meet sometime in January with the Park board. I did mention the possibility we could all work together with the Park District trying to put up a rec center and what he is trying to put up. I think this would be huge for Lexington. I think it opens the door for a motel out towards the interstate. I hate to call the owners of the property and say you are working on something, then the price of the property goes up. This would be a practice facility for a sports team.

The e-mail that I sent out about the windmills was not intended to sway anyone's vote. If there is any kind of a compromise, I need to be able to gear that one way or another, and that is what I am trying to do, find out if there is any kind of a compromise, I do ask that you look at that.

And a note on the school board meeting that I went to, I asked how the entire school board felt about the windmills? I do not believe it is going to be as easy to get the entire school board behind the windmills as the administration thought. We talk about the referendum dropping off in 2019. The school board is counting on the windmills to replace the referendum. That referendum drops off regardless. Whether we decide to drop 10, 12 or all 18, in the mile and one half the school should still get the same. What if this windmill project had not come along, what would happen in 2019 when this referendum dropped off? Would we see another one?

There was additional discussion that the City of Lexington needs to make their own decisions but that the people in the school district think that if the City of Lexington does not allow the 18 in the mile and one half setback that there is still the 45 in the school district.

There was quite a bit of discussion on how to handle the voting on whether or not aldermen wanted to compromise and if so how many and where the compromises would be. It was noted that no vote to compromise could be taken unless it was on the agenda first.

There was discussion that it might be advisable to go into the public hearing with a plan that this is a compromise that we have come up with. We are willing to take out these windmills

within the mile and one half setback to allow for future growth of the City of Lexington. Put that on the agenda for the January 8, 2017 meeting that we are going to discuss compromise and alternative proposals.

Police: All cameras are installed and working. Starr and the Mayor can see what is happening on their computers and we have set up an app on the Mayor's phone. We are getting seven days of data on the tape cassettes before the recycle. If a copy is need, you are able to burn that copy to a CD

Building/Insurance: None

Finance/TIF: None

Water/Sewer/Sanitation: None

Street/Alley: None

There being no further business motion was made by Alderman Colclasure to adjourn at 8:56 P.M. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Respectfully submitted,

Pati Pease

City Clerk

Approved: January 8, 2018

